




# THE MISSING LINK

Number 30

January/February 1994

Volume 14




# TAKE ANY 3 BOOKS FOR ONLY \$1 EACH!

as your introduction to the **ASTRONOMY BOOK CLUB**


You simply agree to buy 3 more books — at significant savings — over the next 12 months.

**VALUES  
TO \$89.90**

(Publishers' prices shown.)



34624 \$12.95



80470 \$24.95




40842 \$23.00



79472-2 \$34.95  
(counts as 2 choices)




85347 \$25.00




42461 \$17.95




67015-2 \$45.00  
(counts as 2 choices)




62720 \$22.50




53020-2 \$35.00  
(counts as 2 choices)




41793 \$16.95



85837 \$24.95




79282-2 \$50.00  
(counts as 2 choices)



57025 \$22.95



34650 \$19.95




85608 \$24.95




69295-2 \$34.95  
(counts as 2 choices)



34626-2 \$45.00  
(counts as 2 choices)



85360 \$22.95



87540-2 \$35.00  
(counts as 2 choices)




79730 \$30.00



79437 \$29.95



66539-2 \$34.95  
(counts as 2 choices)




80190 \$29.95



42637 \$25.00



64701 \$24.95



33517 \$22.95

## ASTRONOMY BOOK CLUB

A Newbridge Book Club

3000 CINDEL DRIVE  
DELRAN NJ 08075-9889

**MEMBERSHIP BENEFITS** In addition to getting 3 books for only \$1.00 each when you join, you'll also receive discounts on books you choose to purchase. Discounts generally range from 15% to 30% off the publishers' prices, and occasionally even more. • Also, you will immediately become eligible to participate in our Bonus Book Plan, with savings of 50% off the publishers' prices. • Moreover, our books are always identical to the publisher's editions. You'll never receive an "economy edition" with inferior paper and bindings from us. • At 3-4 week intervals (15 times per year), you will receive the Astronomy Book Club News, describing the coming Main Selection and Alternate Selections, together with a dated reply card. • In addition, up to three times a year, you may receive offers of Special Selections which will be made available to a group of select members. • If you want the Main Selection, do nothing, and it will be sent to you automatically. • If you prefer another selection, or no book at all, simply indicate your choice on the card and return it by the date specified. • You will have at least 10 days to decide. If, because of late mail delivery of the News, you should receive a book you do not want, we guarantee return postage. ©Newbridge Communications, Inc.

**Editor**

*Aileen Garoutte*

---

**Art & Layout**

*Lozanna Elwood*

---

**Publisher**

*Living Light Productions*

---

**Contributing Writers**

*Tom Dongo*

*Lorne Goldfader*

*Jim Greenen*

*Helga Morrow*

*L.L. Wood*

---

The Missing Link (USPS 8417) is published bi-monthly for \$20 (USA/Canada); \$35 Foreign; per year by:

**Living Light Productions**  
**3001 South 288th, Suite 304**  
**Federal Way, WA 98003**  
**USA**

Opinions and factual statements expressed herein are the responsibility of the writers and are not necessarily endorsed or verified by this magazine. Advertisements do not constitute endorsement by the magazine or its publishers.

Second class postage paid at Auburn, Washington.

ISSN 10633502

Subscriptions, articles and artwork, send to:

**Living Light Productions**  
**3001 South 288th, Suite 304**  
**Federal Way, WA 98003**  
**USA**

---

Postmaster: send address changes to:

**The Missing Link,**  
**3001 South 288th, #304,**  
**Federal Way, WA 98003**

**IT DIDN'T JUST HAPPEN**

Things just don't happen to children of God,  
They're part of a wonderful plan;  
The troubles, reverses, the sorrows, the rod,  
Are strokes of the Great Sculptor's hand.  
When some dread accident strikes you a blow,  
And you worry and fret and demand;  
Why try so hard the mystery to know?  
It's not just an accident; it's planned.  
Have you been dropped from a place of power  
Do you wonder and reprimand?  
Don't rebel, but look to Him in that hour.  
This just didn't happen; it's planned.  
Persecutions, tribulations come down like a storm;  
Friends disappoint and withstand;  
At last, all alone, bewildered, forlorn,  
You look, and He smiles: "This is planned."  
Do you wonder why God to affliction should call,  
And why you must suffer and moan?  
"No man should be moved by affliction," says Paul,  
"For you know it is part of the plan."  
Did some dear one sicken and finally die?  
Did your heart break with anguish and woe?  
Did you question your Lord, and cry: "My God, why?"  
Don't question - He planned it just so.  
Things just don't happen to children of God,  
The blueprint was made by His hand;  
He designed all details to conform to His Son,  
So all things that happen are planned.  
No matter what happens to those called "His own",  
Events that are awful or grand;  
Every trial of your life He sends from His Throne;  
Things just don't happen; they're planned.  
Romans 8:28 "And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are called according to His purpose."

... H.E.M. Snyder

*A Personal Note from Aileen:  
Very soon the Missing Link  
will be coming to you from  
Houston, Texas. We are in  
transition at the moment.  
In the future more  
time and effort will be  
dedicated to the Missing  
Link as your Editor  
has finished her career  
at the Boeing Company.  
Please bear with us.  
As soon as we are settled  
in the Houston area we  
promise to be on time  
with more exciting  
information. Thanks for  
your understanding  
Aileen*

## EDITORIAL

Editor's Note: Remember in Issue #128 we explained the problem with funding for the Missing Link? We want to thank all of you that responded by renewing your subscriptions and sending donations.

In this issue and the last one, you will see that we have gone back to our old format because of the price of having the type set in New York. Hopefully, you will not mind. The information is still there but costs had to be cut somehow. As it is the price to print has gone up again because of additional charges for the printer here in Seattle to photograph and make negatives for all the pages.

I also would like to apologize for the lateness in our current issues. We hope we can keep this going but if not I know you will all understand that it is because of lack of funds and rising costs. Hold in there and let's see what is going to happen in the coming months. Thank you for your patience and understanding. I really want this magazine to keep going! If you believe in its importance to the abductees, please ask your local book stores, metaphysical book stores or whomever, if they are interested in carrying it every other month. That will help a lot. Let us know if they are.

The next subject I want to talk about is a news letter that was sent to me from Bill Knell. I never asked, or subscribed to this newsletter, but I am glad that I received it because if I didn't I wouldn't know the damage he is creating to persons within our organization and other dedicated researchers. Mostly I read about what Bill Knell is doing and how he knows more than anyone else about what is happening in this field. Everyone has a piece of the puzzle and dedicated researchers readily admit that you can never know the whole answer because this phenomenon is illusive.

In Bill Knell's recent issue he bashed a person dear to my heart, Clifford Stone. Cliff is Associate Director in Roswell, New Mexico. He probably knows more about what is happening in UFOlogy than anyone else I know. To attest to that fact is the book he wrote on the government cover-up ACCORDING TO THE GOVERNMENT'S OWN DOCUMENTS! Cliff has suffered needlessly because of his interest in UFOs at the hands of the feds. Bill Knell does not know what he is talking about and when he calls Cliff names in print he is subject to liable. I have noticed in the past he does this quite frequently with other people in UFOlogy and now he probably will do this to me but I do not care. I know Clifford Stone, I know what he has gone through, I know he is accurate and knows things he cannot tell, even yet, and probably will never be able to tell because of his Army career that he recently retired from. Cliff was involved in intelligence and privy to facts Bill Knell could never obtain. If Cliff walked out on him while he was talking (as Bill Knell has alleged) then I can read between the lines and know that Bill was not adding up in Cliff's mind and it would be a waste of time to continue talking to him. Cliff does not need sensationalism to get attention. All he needs is the facts - and he has them.

**The next topic is a letter that was sent to UFO organizations speaking out against Dr. Bruce Maccabee. We will let Dr. Maccabee speak for himself in a retaliatory letter our office received.**

Dear Ufologist:

You have recently received a copy of the Associated Investigator's Report (AIR) #1 "published" by the Associated Investigators Group (AIG). This report was mailed directly to over 100 people known to be interested in UFO research although, it was not mailed to me nor to many of the other "attackees" discussed in the paper. The initial recipients subsequently mailed copies to others. If you mailed copies to friends, please also send each one a copy of this response.

A major part of the AIR report is concerned with my "secret" association with the CIA. Other portions of the paper makes generally disparaging remarks about me and several other noted UFO researchers.

AIR #1 raises the question of whether or not my association with the CIA impacted on my UFO investigations or on the activities of the Fund for UFO Research. I can assure you that it did not, as is more fully described in the enclosed paper. I feel no need to "defend" my CIA association inasmuch as it is not based on UFO research, but rather on professional activities related to my work for the Navy, which is totally unrelated to UFO research. I have written this paper to clarify my association with the CIA and also for another reason which is probably of more importance to ufologists who may be recipients of further AIG reports.

The claim made in the AIR #1 (see the last page) that there are no errors in the paper and this is followed by the "promise" (or is it a threat?) of more "good" research to follow. In other words the writer of AIR #1 claims for himself, and for the Associate Investigators Group, godlike accuracy. This sort of accuracy would, indeed be reassuring, if it were true, especially in light of the AIR's which are promised on crashed saucers, mind control, CIA projects, etc.

However, I have discovered numerous errors in the paper. Therefore I feel it is my duty to inform the UFO community that this paper is not as accurate as one might hope. Furthermore, along with the errors is an overabundance of innuendo and false logic. Hence I must caution the readers of this and future papers against blind acceptance of what the AIR reports say. I'm afraid that if this paper is any example, then we must be prepared for a lot of bad AIR.

One more thing. The "ghost writer" of this paper is one **Walter Todd Zechel** who was an important figure in UFO research about 15 years ago. His approach to the UFO subject was to do anything which would advance his agenda, even at the expense and I mean \$\$\$ of other people. **I know a number of people who suffered economic loss as a result of WTZ's irresponsibility.**

Bruce Maccabee

HOT A.I.R.  
OR  
THE MARK OF ZECHEL  
BY  
Bruce Maccabee  
(not anonymous)

(Special message: I have plenty of case investigations and analyses to keep me busy. I don't need this crap, which forces me to use my precious time responding to worthless charges.)

As you well know, there are a lot of people out there with particular axes to grind or pet cases or theories to spread around. Some of these people would like nothing more than to drag you down into the mud with them. I fervently hope that you will continue to operate with the same brilliance and astute logic and objectivity I've always admired in you. Please continue to demand evidence and proof, and demand as much of them as you would me."

(from a letter to Bruce Maccabee by Walter Todd Zechel, June 21, 1986)

The UFO community has recently been "rocked" by an unpublished but widely circulated paper entitled Associated Investigators Report #1 (abbr. AIR) which reveals, "for the first time anywhere," my *secret* association with the CIA. It also levels charges of incompetence and/or outright fraud against several other UFO investigators. After reading this report the intelligent reader will, I'm sure, be somewhat puzzled. Why was it done? By whom?" Was I really a "CIA mole" inside the UFO community? What is the Associated Investigators Group? Who wrote the report? Is it as accurate as it claims? Is there a "hidden agenda" for this paper? Or is this report really just a lot of hot AIR?

The Associated Investigators Group members are not named, although 14 pseudonyms are given at the end of the paper. Even the writer of the paper is not named. In an "appendix" following the main text there is the following statement: "for the most part, this report is based upon interviews or discussion with the subjects named herein, and have been stated as accurately, candidly and forthrightly as possible. If there are any errors, which is unlikely, they are probably the result of misinterpretations by the subjects." (Subjects? Is this an experiment?) (Clearly the writer assigns godlike accuracy to him (herself) and to the AIG. This would be reassuring, if it were true.) The writer then advises readers to "not waste your time and energy attempting to impede our investigations by attempting to guess our identities..."

**CAVEAT EMPTOR!** Although gullible readers will probably fall for this crap, the astute reader will suspect any investigative writing which proclaims perfection! (The astute reader will also note one error immediately: "pellican" is not the correct spelling.) The reader should also be wary of any writing by

people who (a) don't have the intestinal fortitude (read, "guts") to identify themselves as they accuse others and (b) have the gall to advise the readers not to try to identify them. One wonders what these "stealth investigators" have to hide. Could a similar paper be done about them?

Finally, there is also a promise of this "good" research to follow and *that is the reason for this paper*. Although there is no need for me to defend my association with the CIA, since it is based on continuing professional activities related to my job as a Navy physicist, I feel that I must alert UFOlogists to the evidence of poor research, use of inference and innuendo, errors of fact and just plain mudslinging in this paper so that readers will be better able to *judge the level of accuracy of any future hot AIR reports*. (On the other hand, the promise of future investigative reports may also be just hot air.)

#### SUMMARY OF THE ACCUSATIONS AGAINST ME AND MY RESPONSE

The perceptive reader will, of course, immediately realize that, had my association with the CIA really been totally secret, the paper would not have been written *because no one would have known about it*.

The writer essentially poses a legitimate question (which other people have asked me already), namely, what has been the nature of my association with the CIA and has it impacted on my UFO research and my activities in the field. Unfortunately, however, the writer, goes on to argue, via innuendo and false logic, that my association with the CIA has been poor judgement on my part, at best, and, at worst, has negatively impacted the UFO field in two ways which are treated separately below. In the following paragraphs I will answer the legitimate questions. I will also identify the false logic and innuendo and respond to it in a manner that rational people will understand.

ACCUSATION #1: The writer charges that my support for UFO cases which, in the mind of the writer at least, are "obviously" poor cases or frauds (New Zealand, Kirtland Landing Case, Gulf Breeze, Guardian) has caused other researchers to waste time and money carrying out their own investigations. (How horrible!) But more germane to the issue which is the subject of this paper, my CIA association, is the writer's allegation that my support for these cases has been "CIA inspired." According to the writer, "This certainly would have served the CIA's interest in keeping serious investigation of the UFO phenomena out of the public domain." Also according to the writer my support of these cases is evidence for poor judgement on my part, and no one with such poor judgement should be a leader in the UFO field (after all... I might lead people astray!) (I guess the writer does not think much of the average ufologist's ability to discern fact from fiction in UFO investigation.)

RESPONSE: The writer implies, without evidence, that a general policy of the CIA, the "CIA's interest," is to suppress serious UFO investigation. That implication runs counter my impression gained over the last nine years or so which is that, at least the

part of the CIA with which I have had contact, *has no policy or "interest" regarding UFO investigation*, although several employees have expressed an interest and numerous employees have attended the several UFO lectures (the term "briefings" is too formal) I have presented there.

The writer alleges that my support for these cases has been "CIA inspired." WRONG! The fact is that my CIA acquaintances have never indicated that I should support any particular sighting. In fact, the comments they make tend to be skeptical or just plain negative regarding sightings and *the reality of UFO phenomena in general*. My support for these cases has nothing to do with the CIA and has everything to do with my own investigations of them. The astute reader will realize that the opinions of these cases offered by the writer are just that... opinions, unsupported by any evidence in the hot AIR report. If the writer or any of the AIG group are intelligent enough to have good arguments against these cases then they can send me their arguments directly or even argue in public if they want to.

The writer accuses me of poor judgement in supporting these cases. It's hard to defend oneself against accusations of "poor judgement." It is like being accused of "poor taste." People will have differing opinions about the same subject. Which person is correct? It becomes more a matter of consensus than established fact. At any rate, I will stand by my past investigations and publications. I have rejected many alleged UFO sightings, but the particular ones held in disfavor by the hot AIR writer are cases I did not reject for reasons which I considered to be very good at the time and I still consider them to be good. If others wish to disagree publicly with my conclusions they should be willing to state their argument(s) "nononymously" as opposed to "a-nonymously."

On the other hand, these charges of poor judgement can be reversed. I suspect that the AIG members, should they ever reveal themselves, will be charged with poor judgement for having circulated this paper widely in spite of the numerous errors, ad hominem attacks and argument by illogic and innuendo (see below). They will also be charged with "unkind conduct" for *not even having the courtesy to send me a copy first, although I am the main target of their attacks!* I first learned that the paper existed during the late evening of July 24 when Jim Moseley called me to ask me questions about its allegations. Over the next week I heard from other people who had received copies. I learned that it had even appeared in England during the weekend of July 24. But, oddly enough, of the "subjects" I talked to, *none of them had received copies directly from the AIG*. I finally got a copy 6 days after this "load" had been dropped on an unsuspecting world from a person who had received his copy from the AIG in an envelope with no return address.

Why was this report circulated widely before I got a copy? I presume it was circulated by the *perpetrators of this travesty* in order to spread their *lies and innuendo* as far as possible before I (and the others mentioned herein) could respond. Furthermore, by not listing a return address or the name of a real person to contact there is no "official" person to whom I

can send my response. I, therefore, must respond to the community in general.

ACCUSATION #2: The writer claims that the second negative impact of my association with the CIA has been its affect on the policy of the Fund for UFO Research. In particular, the writer suggests that my association with the CIA affected the decision of the Fund to reject a proposal by Walter Todd Zechel (WTZ) to sue the CIA a second time. The writer further charges that my CIA association also caused the Fund to support MJ-12 research in spite of "knowing" that the MJ-12 PAPERS ARE FAKES.

RESPONSE: **WRONG AND WRONG AGAIN!** One fact that the writer has failed to take into account ("don't bother me with the facts, this is what I want to say") is that I did not "run" the Fund like an autocracy. I had one vote on the Executive Committee of five people and each action required at least 3 out of 5 positive votes. My suggestions were voted down a number of times.

In order to support his argument that my association with the CIA impacted on the Fund decision to reject WTZ's proposal the writer has resorted to incomplete reporting and biasing of the facts. I present my version of the story of this particular incident below. Before beginning, however, I would like to point out that most of the information used in the AIG was supplied by WTZ. I know this because much of the information which is in the report I supplied to him, alone. The report also contains information which he, alone, told me (and which I didn't tell others...I kept his confidence, but obviously he didn't return the favor!). In fact, the whole paper bears the *Mark of Zechel*. This leads me to speculate the WTZ's intent is to use this paper to get me off the Executive Committee of the fund. With me not on the Executive Committee he could once again propose to re-sue the CIA without having to worry about my presumed interference or alerting of CIA officials (which I didn't do the first time and wouldn't have done at any time!). If that is true, then WTZ may be surprised to learn that I had, in fact, stepped down in favor of Richard Hall at the end of March, 1993, *months before there was any hint of the AIG paper*. I am now, after 13 years of continual "duty" with the Fund, Chairman Emeritus, with all the privileges that title bestows (none!). (My "golden parachute" leaves a lot to be desired.)

Now let's get to the core of the matter, the rejection of WTZ's proposal to resue the CIA and the subsequent funding of Stan Freedman MJ-12 research, the pertinent portion of the hot AIR paper (page 8, last paragraph) reads as follows (I have labeled the abstractions from the paper with numbers for later reference):

(1) "It must be pointed out that the Fund rejected a detailed proposal to re-sue the CIA under FOIA submitted by Todd Zechel a few years ago. Zechel had outlined a plan to go after the 15,000 documents described by Maccabee's friend, Kit Green, and had asked for a paltry \$500 to get the effort rolling, using a diligent attorney who had volunteered to do the work. The Fund quickly rejected

Zechel's proposal, but later handed \$16,000 to Stan Friedman in an effort to validate the MJ-12 documents." (my emphasis)

(2) "Unfortunately, we are forced now to re-examine the motives of Dr. Maccabee. We must ask if his CIA contacts had any input into this (or any) decisions regarding proposals. This input may not have been so obvious as one would first think. Consider the scenario wherein Maccabee's CIA contacts express subtle hints suggesting to maccabee that there may have been an MJ-12, this may have been enough to influence his decision to make such a large grant. Conversely, who knows what input the CIA had in Maccabee's rejection of Zechel's modest proposal."

I would like to answer the least implied question immediately: *The CIA had exactly no input to the rejection of WTZ's proposal, nor did it have any input to any of the decisions of the Fund for UFO Research. Period!* Of course the writer, and WTZ, could have learned this (whether or not they believe it) by just asking. But their approach is more consistent with that of paranoid delusionals who have fun speculating about being "under constant attack by dark forces" (in this case, the CIA) and don't like to ask direct questions and receive direct answers because they don't believe the answers. Furthermore, as I pointed out above, even if I had attempted to interject a "CIA perspective" into the internal deliberations of the Fund - *which I never did* the other members could equally well argue from their own perspectives. And, when it came to the final "showdown", I had only a single vote. But again, this logic is irrelevant according to the writer whose attitude is "don't bother me with logic or the facts; I like my own conclusions."

Referring, now, to section (1) of the paragraph above, why did the Fund reject WTZ's? proposal? The very short answer is that it was at the wrong time and by the wrong person. More specifically, there are four reasons. Three of these are WTZ's own fault, and one was "bad timing." To understand these reasons the reader needs to know some history that is not generally available (see CLEAR INTENT by Greenwood and Fawcett for more information on the CIA lawsuit).

The original 1977-1978 CIA lawsuit was prosecuted under the rights granted by the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (FOIPA...sometimes abbreviated FOI). The suit was filed on behalf of a UFO group, now defunct, called Ground Saucer Watch (GSW). The success of the suit came about largely as a result of WTZ's own effort in conjunction with New York attorney Peter Gersten and with considerable help from Brad Sparks. The lawsuit was carried through to a surprising (to most of the world) conclusion: In December 1978 the CIA released *hundreds* of pages of UFO related material spanning some 30 years after claiming for months that it was involved for only a short time in late 1952 and early 1953 (the time leading up to the Robertson Panel fiasco and the subsequent panel report which proposed "debunking" as the solution to the UFO problem). The fact that the CIA had lots of pages of material was not surprising to WTZ and others involved

in the suit, however, because they had been "led to believe by the CIA's legal staff that the number of documents to be accounted for would be in the area of 10,000. (quote taken from the draft of "For Your Eyes Only," a paper written in January, 1987 by WTZ). (Years earlier, in the January 1979 bulletin of the Citizens Against UFO Secrecy, "Just CAUS," WTZ wrote that in September, 1978 "U.S. Attorney William Briggs led CAUS officials to believe that the CIA had located in excess of 5,000 documents." Evidently somehow over the years this number was doubled.) Furthermore, according to WTZ in his proposal to the Fund, a "reliable CIA source of mine" pointed out that most of the "components" of the CIA which were searched were the wrong ones. Hence, presumably there could be unreleased documents in other components of the agency.

The release of a mere 900 pages dismayed WTZ and the others and they began planning, *in the spring of 1979*, for another lawsuit. (This planning was going on when I met a CIA employee who suggested that there could be many more pages of material. Although this incident plays a large role in the AIR reconstruction of the events, *it played no role* in the Fund decision. My contact with the CIA is discussed more fully below.) However, for various reasons there was no second suit of the CIA in 1979 and WTZ subsequently dropped out of UFO research leaving a trail of unpaid bills and a "few pissed off people in my wake" (quote from his proposal).

Move ahead, now, to December, 1986 (not exactly "a few years ago," as implied by the hot AIR paper). The Fund received a proposal from WTZ to re-sue the CIA for the presumed thousands of documents that hadn't been released. The Executive Committee of the Fund reviewed his proposal and made its decision based on the following factors:

(a) WTZ did not present a strong case that more pages would be released under a new FOI lawsuit. First, his "evidence" that there were more documents was largely speculation based on hearsay or on information from confidential sources about which he would say nothing. In other words, he provided no *proof* that there were thousands more pages to be released. (In fact, one goal of the suit was a *search* to find out if there were more documents.) Second, the previous suit had been successful only in retrieving documents of Secret classification and below. Both the CIA and later NSA (National Security Agency) lawsuits showed that the government could appeal to "national security" to withhold documents. There was no reason to believe that the same excuses wouldn't be used again to protect the "really good stuff" we wanted. In other words, they might locate some more, even many more, documents and simply refuse to release them all or in part for national security reasons. Hence the Executive Committee did not see much hope that a new lawsuit would produce hundreds or thousands, or *any*, more documents than we already had.

(b) Had this proposal been sent by someone else we might have been more favorably disposed toward it. Although proposals to the Fund are evaluated more in terms of the capability of an investigator than his personality and personal history, in this

case we could not overlook WTZ's actions in the past. Although he had established himself as a good, persistent investigator of UFO crashes and government cover-up, his meteoric rise (1976-77) and fall (late 1979) was well known to all of us. As he admitted in his proposal, his complete obsession with uncovering the cover-up overpowered his good sense in dealing with other investigators. When he left the field, some might say "drummed out," he owed money for phone investigations he had carried out at other people's expense. He had, to use his phrase in the proposal, "left a few pissed off people in my wake" when he vanished from the scene in late 1979, having been "burned out." The Executive Committee felt that the Fund would be condemned by many or most other researchers if it supported Mr. WTZ in any activity.

(c) WTZ shot himself in the foot by indicating that big money - that's BIG money - was soon to follow. He wrote in his proposal that he was authoring two books, one of which would be a hardcover with a "six figure advance...currently being negotiated." There would also be a feature film budgeted at another six figure number. He was expecting to submit part of the book to a publisher in three months. The Executive Committee members read this and asked, "if there are megabucks only a few months away, why does he need us?"

(d) The fourth and final "killer" reason was bad timing. Perhaps WTZ, not being active in the field, did not know that in 1985 the Fund had volunteered to put on the "Fortieth Birthday Party" in the Nation's Capitol. I refer to June 24, 1987 as the 50th anniversary of Arnold's sighting, and the party was the International MUFON Symposium. The Executive Committee had decided to make this as international as possible by inviting researchers from all over the world. We knew that this would take money...more than we could take in "at the door" from the attendees only. By the fall of 1986 we were actively searching for donations (and beginning to chew our fingernails). We expected to have to raise some \$15,000 or more in donations beyond the expected registration fees. By December, 1986, when we received WTZ's proposal, we had not yet achieved our goal...although we had already committed to a number of speakers from overseas. Our collective finger nails were getting shorter.

It was in this context that we evaluated WTZ's proposal to re-sue the CIA for a "paltry \$500 to get the effort rolling," as it says in the AIR. *WRONG!* Had it only been \$500, and if it had been someone other than WTZ, we just might have been interested. However, it wasn't just \$500. The suit would require an "initial contribution of \$500" to "cover basic expenses in preparing the suit" and once the suit was ready to file...an *additional contribution of about \$2,000 as a retainer for the attorney(s).* (from WTZ's proposal) There was no mention of a "diligent attorney who had volunteered to do the legal work." Since WTZ's time scale was measured in months, we could see that the \$500 right up front would be followed soon by another \$2,000, for a total of \$2,500 that would be needed during the spring/summer of 1987 for his lawsuit. Since we were trying to save every penny toward the MUFON Symposium, still 6 months away, we weren't

about to commit to anything until we had paid for the symposium. In other words, we had our own problems with money. Now reread reason (c) above. The Executive Committee members wondered why he needed a "paltry" \$2,500 from us when, if he waited a few months, he would be rolling in dough. (Note: Evidently his book and movie proposals also fell through.)

As you may well imagine, the combination of these reasons was enough to cause the Executive Committee to vote against the proposal. My "CIA connection" (reference section (2) above) had nothing to do with the rejection. In fact, I never discussed this proposal or any other proposal with my CIA contacts. Furthermore, I had no "protective feelings" for the CIA... and I still don't. I still think they're holding onto something we want and if someone should propose another lawsuit, then have at it!

So now you see that the version of this "rejection incident" as reported in the AIR, where any errors are "unlikely," is a lot of hot air...just as is their claim to investigatorial perfection!

The Fund's decision not to fund the CIA lawsuit apparently did not sit well with WTZ. In the draft of his last issue of "For Your Eyes Only," written in January, 1987 he refers to his offer to "launch a new suit against the CIA, this time seeking the 15,000 documents that were never scattered throughout the CIA's files, as it contended, but were instead held in one location, as would be expected if UFOs are considered a serious intelligence target. But it appears UFOlogists are more concerned with holding conventions where everyone can propose their latest theories and wallow in the comradery of fellow believers, than they are to get down in the trenches and slug it out with those responsible for making UFOs a laughing matter and relegating the subject to the science fiction section." (my emphasis) As the reader will note, this disparaging reference to "holding conventions" is a low blow; the Fund was already committed to holding a convention.. We didn't have the money to do both, and we couldn't arbitrarily cancel the convention to support WTZ's speculative proposal.

Referring, now, to paragraph (1) above and the research into the MJ-12 documents, the hot AIR paper says that the Fund "quickly rejected Zechel's proposal, but later handed \$16,000 to Friedman..." It does not point out that Friedman received support for MJ-12 research 2 1/2 years later, under conditions that were considerably different from the conditions under which WTZ's proposal was rejected. The MJ-12 papers, more precisely referred to as the "Eisenhower Briefing Document," (EIB) were released in the late spring of 1987, just before the 1987 Symposium. Charges and countercharges began flying around immediately and continued into 1988. In the summer of 1988 the fund took a poll of contributors to determine what interested them the most. MJ-12 came out on top. The Fund then made a public appeal for a \$16,000 proposal by Stan Friedman to try to prove or disprove the validity of the document. We all knew it was a shot in the dark, but only by diligent searching of old records could we hope to learn anything. Everyone who contributed to the special MJ-12 effort knew exactly what the

money was going for. The fund did not use general funds over which the community had no control.

Referring to paragraph (2), above, the writer questions whether or not my "CIA contacts had any input to this" and suggests that they may have hinted the MJ-12 documents were real, thus influencing me to "make such a large grant." As I have stated above, however, the CIA contacts never advised me one way or the other and never influenced the Fund decisions one way or another. I'll go farther to say that they never hinted that the MJ-12 documents were real. They were as puzzled and skeptical as everyone.

The writer has alleged that I supported MJ-12 research while knowing that the EIB was a fake. **WRONG!** I still don't know whether it is fake or not, or whether it might be partially true and partially false. Numerous investigators have provided circumstantial evidence on both sides of the question. Many of the "conclusive" arguments against the document have been shown to be ill conceived. Yet we have yet to find conclusive proof of its reality.

#### MORE HOT AIR

Having discussed...and dispensed with...the two major allegations of this paper I will now deal with the other allegations. This is done in the Appendix on an item-specific basis. My discussion of the various items listed there illustrates the errors in this paper. Without trying to analyze each slanted word and sentence, and confining most of my discussion to events, etc. which are directly connected to me or which I know about, I have found 18 errors of fact, illogic or innuendo in the self-proclaimed "perfect" paper.

One person I spoke to about this paper pointed out that whenever he gets something like this, loaded with *ad hominem* attacks and verging on libel and slander, he automatically assumes that maybe 50% is completely false, 50% is basically true, and that the true 50% is written in such a way as to make it look bad for whomever the paper is about. I guess that person hit the nail on the head this time.

But what is important now is not this widely circulated paper. The "cat is now out of the bag" regarding my "CIA association" and from now on I'll probably be viewed with suspicion by the more paranoid members of the UFO community. The important question for the UFO community is how to view the next AIR paper which may report on items for which there are no independent checks. As this paper shows, the AIG members are not infallible (far from it!). Hence readers of any such reports should be prepared to view very skeptically any further hot AIR reports.

(P.S. Now reread the abstract from WTZ's letter to me in 1986 at the beginning of this paper.)

\* \* \* \* \*

4418 39th St.  
Brentwood, MD 20722  
August 5, 1993

To Whom it May Concern:

I have read the so-called AIR#1 report and Bruce Maccabee's reply entitled "Hot Air." Although W. Todd Zechel told me on the telephone that he had no control over some of the content of AIR#1, and that there is primarily one other person responsible for it, I agree that the paper bears all the earmarks of Zechel's work and clearly is a reiteration of his past writings. If there is another primary author, aside from being a total coward he or she apparently doesn't have an original thought in his or her head. I shall call him or her "Air-Head."

It must be emphasized that, other than Zechel's obvious role in it, the paper is totally anonymous and the list of alleged AIR members is totally fictitious. None of the people attacked personally in the paper or (like the Fund for UFO Research) smeared by it were afforded any opportunity to reply to the allegations in advance, nor is there an official author or address to which a rebuttal can be sent. The paper is full of half-truths, innuendo, and totally false statements. Nevertheless, it has been widely circulated with obvious intent to do harm to Bruce Maccabee and/or the Fund and so we must reply.

The fund is preparing its own separate statement, but I wish to go on record as stating that I have known Bruce Maccabee for over 25 years and have no reason to doubt his personal integrity. We disagree about the merits of some UFO cases that he has worked on, but disagreement and dialogue among colleagues is part of the scientific method. There is nothing devious or "evil" about the positions Bruce takes on a given case, despite insinuations by Air-Head. His position may be mistaken in some respects or even wrong, but who among us is perfect? He also may be right. That is for scientific research to determine.

Bruce is absolutely correct in stating that the Fund never has had a clandestine relationship or any other with the CIA, and it never will as long as I am Chairman. There is at worst "an appearance of impropriety" for Bruce, as past Chairman, to have given talks or information to parties in CIA, which he did as a private individual. When he mentioned some of his meetings with CIA people to us over the years, we cautioned him that it could cause a problem. However, I find his explanation of what his relationships were with some CIA personnel to be entirely credible and they had virtually nothing to do with the Fund.

At no time did the CIA have any influence over any decisions made by the Executive Committee or National Board of the Fund. Suggestions by Air-Head to the contrary do border on paranoia. As


he points out, Bruce had only one vote and in fact some of his ideas were voted down (some of mine have been voted down too). For any decision by the Fund to support any given project or to take any particular action, there is joint responsibility by the Executive Committee and, for major funding decisions or other fundamentally important matters, by the full 15-member National Board. The Board members are independent-minded people whose names and track records are known, not "tools of the CIA."

Finally, Bruce Maccabee served honorably as Chairman for 13 years providing important leadership to the Fund, and remains a respected member of the National Board. Whatever "mistakes" he may have made during that period may be attributable to human failings, but not to sinister plotting or devious behavior.


*Richard Hall*

Richard Hall, Chairman  
Fund for UFO Research

**SHOE**



**SHOE**



# 1993 REVIEW OF UFOLOGY

By Preston E. Dennett

UFOs have been studied intensely for over forty years, and every year brings exciting new developments in the field. There are new encounters, new people, new controversies. There are advances and set-backs, more researchers and even more rumors. This has held true for year after year, and 1993 is no exception.

One of the most exciting trends of 1993 is the growing popularity of UFOs. The MUFON UFO JOURNAL reported a record subscription base of 4,000. "Video Dave" of the UFO VIDEO CLEARING HOUSE in Yucaipa, California reports over 10,000 members. Several relatively new UFO magazines, including INTERNATIONAL UFO LIBRARY MAGAZINE, the UFOLOGIST, UFO ENCOUNTERS and UNICUS have survived another year. One brand new magazine, FAR OUT! has made an impressive debut.

And then the old-timers continue to flourish, including FATE, INTERNATIONAL UFO REPORTER, JUST CAUSE, MISSING LINK, MUFON UFO JOURNAL, STRANGE MAGAZINE, UFO, UFO UNIVERSE and others.

A number of new UFO books have also taken advantage of the booming popularity of UFOs. INTO THE FRINGE by Karla Turner tells of one family's foray into the UFO field. The sequel to the controversial MONTAUK PROJECT, was published. Titled, MONTAUK: ADVENTURES IN SYNCHRONICITY, by Peter Moon and Preston Nichols, it tells a far-flung story of government conspiracy, alien-human cooperation and time-travel. Long-time UFO researcher Raymond E. Fowler presents a UFO abduction case with overwhelming evidence in his book, THE ALLAGASH ABDUCTIONS. Jacques Vallee shook the UFO community with his controversial book, FORBIDDEN SCIENCE. Leah Haley's book, LOST WAS THE KEY tells her controversial story of standard UFO abductions with military involvement, including being abducted aboard a UFO that was shot down by the military! Terrenz Sword's book, THE BATTLE OF LOS ANGELES, revealed for the first time, the whole story behind a very famous UFO sighting over Los Angeles in 1942. MESSAGE FROM THE PLEIADES, VOLUME THREE by Wendelle C. Stevens (editor), continues the ongoing saga of contactee Billy Meier. Joseph Randazzo's book, THE CONTACTEES MANUSCRIPT tells the stories of twelve different contactees. Richard L. Thompson's book, ALIEN IDENTITIES presents strong parallels between ancient Vedic Sanskrit writings and modern-day UFO accounts.

Surely there were more books published, but as can be seen, there is no shortage.

As far as controversies, the old ones refuse to die and new ones are around every corner. The Roswell case, revived by recent books, continues to be the focus of media attention. Arguments over various witnesses and various versions of the crash are still in progress.

Meanwhile, UFO researchers continue to argue over the objective reality of abductions, the existence of underground bases and the feasibility of the extraterrestrial hypothesis as opposed to multi-dimensional or occult-based theories. The contactee movement is also making a slow comeback as hundreds of people come forward with their accounts of contact with friendly aliens.

One of the most heated controversies to come along in some time is the Linda Cortile case, which has been at least mentioned in virtually every UFO publication. Although the case occurred in 1992, the sensational nature of the abduction has kept it in the UFO limelight. Budd Hopkins reports that a book is in the works on the case.

Another event which hit the field like a ton of bricks was the 1992 Roper Poll which found that as many as one in fifty people may have been abducted. Some researchers believe the survey to be flawed, however, others herald it as a step forward in the public awareness of ufology. In either case, much of 1993 has been spent discussing the results of this survey.

Many UFO events have caused mixed reactions. A perfect example is the second annual march on Washington by the newly-formed UFO group, RIGHT TO KNOW. Some UFO researchers stated their beliefs that such demonstrations would only increase ridicule, while others argue that such an event could only increase media attention to the subject. The event went ahead as planned and was even given mainstream news coverage.

Probably the most exciting event of 1993 was the August 21 failure of the Mars Probe to photograph the Martian Face. The fact that the failure occurred immediately prior to the actual photographing of the face has caused some researchers, including Professor Richard Hoagland to raise some eyebrows.

As always, new figures have entered the UFO arena and old ones have left. Terrell W. Ecker, editor and publisher of THE UFOLOGIST passed away in September, after a long illness.

Some new figures include Ed Dames of Psi-Tech, whose claims of remote viewing are making the UFO circuit. Bruce Maccabee admitted meeting with the CIA to discuss UFOs but denies any official connections (Ed. Note: See article this issue). Donald M. Ware was removed from the MUFON board of Directors in June because of his desires to include various paranormal topics such as channeling into the official MUFON agenda. Jerome Clark left his long-standing column at FATE MAGAZINE, who quickly picked up world-famous UFO researcher, Antonio Huneus. Whitley Strieber reports that he is still having visitor experiences, but that for now, he intends to stay out of the UFO field. Robert Lazar continues to appear in articles and at conventions. George Knapp reports much exciting news from the former Soviet Union. He was able to speak with high officials who told him that the Russians had been studying UFOs for decades, and that their pilots had had several close encounters with UFOs, including some fatal ones. Vladimir Terziski, William Cooper, John Lear and others continue to report on the more incredible aspects of the UFO field, such as underground bases, government conspiracies and human-alien cooperation.

The nominees for the annual MUFON award for the most outstanding achievement in the field include Edith Fiore, Ph.d., John Ford, Stanton Friedman, Cynthia Hind, Budd Hopkins, Linda Moulton Howe, Pat Marcattilo, Dennis Stacy, Leonard H. Stringfield, Doris Upchurch and Donald M. Ware.

Some bad news includes the cancellation of the TV program SIGHTINGS, which was replaced by the fictional, yet UFO-related X-FILES. Another disappointment was the cable premiere of OFFICIAL DENIAL, which failed to bring in any raving reviews. The movie premiere of FIRE IN THE SKY provided a boost for UFO popularity but most UFO researchers cringed at the highly fictionalized abduction segment aboard the UFO. Nevertheless, most are happy about the attention the movie has brought. More good news includes the nationwide syndication of the Cable Radio Network two-hour radio program UFOS TONITE, hosted by Don Ecker. UFOS TONITE has featured many leading UFO researchers. A unique and touching event occurred on December 18, when Don Ecker (host of UFOS TONITE) and Vicki Cooper (editor of UFO MAGAZINE) were married live over the air on their radio show.

Some of the exciting new UFO encounters include a wave of sightings in Clearlake, California. The group, the Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence (CSETI), headed by Stephen Greer, reports another successful CE-V, during which they vectored in a spacecraft in Mexico. Fyffe county, Alabama produced more reports of unexplained lights and cattle mutilations. Area 51, in the Nevada desert also continues to draw crowds of curious people. UFO researcher William Hamilton stunned the UFO community with his report of a UFO-missing time experience in March 1993, while visiting the area with a friend. The Long Island UFO Network (LIUFON) released a very exciting report about a possible UFO crash in New York state. A similar story comes from Ottawa, Canada and is popularly known as the Guardian Case, which has achieved notoriety because of an August 1991 video-tape showing what appears to be a landed disk. The "Taos Hum" story has now appeared in all major UFO publications. Equally strange and unexplainable have been several "sky-quakes" heard throughout Los Angeles in early 1993, which many believe are caused by the "super-secret" spy-plane, the Aurora. Finally, Gulf Breeze, Florida continues to be the UFO hot-spot of the planet, producing a literal flood of UFOs, UFO videotapes and witnesses.


And then there are the wild stories and rumors. One gruesome new development are accounts of human mutilations, of which there are at least three separate accounts. Another bizarre account is the story of a lady who discovered she was an alien hybrid! Evidently, the irises in her eyes would change into a cat-like slit. Other make claims of coming open contact with UFOs and massive earth changes. (This is looking more and more likely everyday!) And finally, there is the constant rumor that the United States Government is planning to end the UFO cover-up, release confidential files and make an official disclosure that UFOs are real. To date, however, there has been no solid proof of these events.

As can be seen, 1993 was indeed a very busy year for UFOs. The field has become larger and more complex, and ultimately, more popular. As the evidence for UFOs mounts, more and more people are

being forced to face the fact that UFOs are real. Sooner or later, the UFO evidence will be so strong that it will be impossible to ignore. Many people have already found this to be true.

However, there are still many skeptics who need incontrovertible evidence of UFO reality. Although this is unfortunately true, it may not be for long. In this age of video cameras, VCRs and satellite communication, conclusive proof of UFO reality will not be long in arriving. And if trends continue as they are, then 1994 could be the year that this momentous event occurs and a new era dawns for humankind. At any rate, it should turn out to be a very interesting year!

\*\*\*\*\*



RITCOMMQ TO TRO  
Copyright 1994 Donald Nelson

Dear Tro:

Are you yet on your research tour to the region of the Earth? Are you, or your team, available for commq? Eighteen months ago you graciously replied to my request in The Missing Link newsletter regarding planets in our Sol system beyond PlutoCar, (the binary, Pluto plus Charon). You specified the quadrants of the sky to search for the two planets I asked about. They are unknown by Earth astronomers.

Please now accept my belated apology for not thanking you in this publication sooner. Can I communicate more directly with you through a Primor? Your data are veryvery useful and much appreciated.

Since receiving your reply, I revised the formula-- or Standard-- I developed that singlefies the relationships among the planets of the Sol system. After more than one-hundred fifty pages of calculations, and many times more in hours, since your reply, I have a Standard that is finally satisfactory to me.

The formula-- to "work" at all-- requires that a Sol system member be between Mercury and Venus. Imagine! Astrologers never knew that. But, that has now been discovered. Eleanor Helin called it RaShal, or RaShalom.

There has to be matter in an orbit between Saturn and Uranus. That found planet now is Kyron. Charles Kowal named it confuspell Chiron.

And finally, there has to be four orbit zones beyond PlutoCar.

All star-gazers on Earth (Anturia), whether they use the largest telescopes or computers, remain ignorant of the quantity of subsuns and planets in this Sol system. After two hundred years of "science," children to old ones on Earth still lack knowledge of the truth.

No mathematician ever published for use (unless unrecovered on Mayan stones,) a unifying concept or formula that rebzens the inter-relations of all the members of the Sol system.

No one else gave a description of the sixteen orbit zones that extend from Sol that contain(ed) subsuns and planets.

To this day, the most advanced unions of astronomers on Earth cannot specify the quantity, sizes, orbit times, and distances from the megasun, of even the basic members of the Sol system. Nor did anyone ever sensibly show how these "planets" inter-relate in a so-called "system."

How can Earth humans ever begin space travel without this baseknowl? I decided almost thirty years ago that I wanted to know the truth about the planetary environment we live in. I found it was up to me alone to create, discover, or ask someone who knows the truth, to then be able to assist the scientists to correct the lack of understanding. So, this inquiry of you.

(To broadstroke the picture, here are some proportions.)

First, we take the mean distance from the Earth energy interchange source near the surface of the megasun, Sol, to the rotation center of the Earth-Luna pair as one unit of measure. (Modified "Astronomical Unit.")

A full revolution around Sol of the Earth-Luna system in reference to a fixed star, is one "Earth" year, of 365.25636† rotations.

[To be brief we omit the "inferior" and intermediary zones (orbits).]

Next, we measure the distance from Sol to our largest subsun Jupiter, and its system of planets (moons) in orbit number seven. That distance is 5.1-----\* times the first unit of measure.

Earth-Luna completes 11.7----- rounds (years) while the Jupiter system completes one revolution around Sol.

Then we find PlutoCar at 39.3----- units from Sol.

Earth-Luna system makes 246.5----- loops around Sol while PlutoCar completes one round. \*Accuracy deleted to protect proprietary information.

My formula starts with a figure rebzening the matter and energy in the Sol. Next I derive figures for two very large systems. Then, comes the figures for Jupiter, followed by Saturn, Neptune, and Uranus. This means, for any of the subsuns and planets in the Sol system to exist, two major systems must also first exist.

Current Earth astronomy is ignorant of these systems. So, they must be beyond PlutoCar.

Tro, you gave me the quadrants of the sky in which to find each of two unseen planets. And thank you very much, again. However, here is my confusion. The evolved Standard contains a basis of sixteen orbit zones.

The first twelve orbit zones we see contain a sun system or planet except zone six-- the asteroids. Thus, this means zones 13, 14, 15, and 16 are beyond PlutoCar. I believe the last orbit from Sol contains Maldec. That's the Destroyer Planet (flippantly now thought to be a mere comet). A few extant records varicall it Maldek, Marduke, Marduk, and Malona.

During the past 10,233 years, and expectedly, after the destruction of the Greater Isles of Atlantis, and most of the other inhabited regions, Earth humans lost track of this enormous planet.

It contains eighty-eight times the volume of matter/energy as Earth. Or, speaking in the mystical terms of Earth astronomers, its "mass" is 81.8----- when the Earth has a "mass" of 0.9-----.

It dragged Venus from the Uranus system on a recent return. That was in the year 1479 B.C., per my calcs. When Venus screamed nearby, Santorini Volcano (called Thera by the Greeks) blew up. This destroyed the culture/civilization in Greece, Italy, Egypt, and the Mediterranean region. Greeks live on the flanks of, and on the islands in, this flooded volcano today.

The mean period for Maldec is 575.3----- Earth years. Its fifth flyby after that devastation was in December A.D. 1680. Not only are its approaches to Earth's orbit erratic, its speeds of rounds are too. Do you see the interest Earth humans should have in this "planet"? Does it have any of its moons? Does Maldec revolve against the paths of other planets?

Can your scientists possibly unravel why two of Maldec's consecutive rounds to perihelion always add up to 1150.7----- Earth years, but one reciprocal period varies by as much as 205 Earth years? What causes the energy fluctuation? Would this unique condition interest scientists in "France" or elsewhere in the galaxy?

The other planet (matter/energy 49.3-----) I think is in orbit 15. Maldec is 69.1----- units from Sol (#16). The next nearer orbit locates 62.4----- units from Sol (#15). Orbit 14 is 55.2----- units, and 13 is 47.6----- units from Sol.

Tro, my specific questions to you now are this:

In which orbits are the planets you already called out?

Is there a planet in orbit 14? I think there is only 0.00006----- amount of matter/energy in it. Compare that with 0.005----- amount of matter/energy for the planet in orbit 13, and 0.002----- matter/energy for PlutoCar, orbit 12. Are my speculations re: orbit occupants correct?

It sure would be wonderful to see them close up myself.

Thank you very much for your very welcome assistance. Is this too much to askwire of you with your bizkedule? Or, is there anyone else who wilcan assist me resolve these questions?

With warmamicable regards,

o

Don

Donald Nelson  
P.O. Box 811, Seahurst, WA 98062-0811

o o  
o o

# UFOs, ETs AND YOU

## THE SAGA OF SECRET CANYON

by Tom Dongo

In the past four years, I have had indirect offers from two international magazines that specialize in paranormal and/or New Age subjects to do an article about Secret Canyon. I responded with a flat no.

I have avoided writing anything about Secret Canyon because I have felt that the subject was just too sensitive (and dangerous) in a number of ways. Whatever is, or was, in Secret Canyon has been very quiet for a year or more. Perhaps it has been closed down or deactivated.

Sedona has undergone a population and construction explosion in recent years, so it is possible that is the reason for the lack of or cessation of activity. Too much pressure, maybe.

I don't know the definite origin for the name Secret Canyon and I'm not sure if anyone else does, either.

Secret Mountain dominates the Secret Canyon area. Many of Sedona's major canyons basically terminate at or near Secret Mountain. They include Lincoln, Loy, Hartwell, Red, Fay, Boynton, Long, HS, Bear Sign and Secret

Canyons. Secret Mountain is a sort of hub, like half a wagon wheel when viewed on a topographical map of these ten canyons.

Around 1920, several of the early ranchers of this area were riding horseback deep into Secret Canyon, probably looking for stray cattle. Some time during the day, the canyon became slowly filled by a dense bank of fog. Anyone who has lived around here for a long time and hikes the canyons will tell you that fog never occurs in the canyons. Once in a while, after a heavy rain or snow storm, thin fog will waft through a canyon, usually for only a few minutes. Evidently this particular fog was so thick the ranchers had to stop for the day and were a bit disoriented as to exactly where they were. Sudden dense fog materializing from nowhere is very typical of paranormal and UFO/alien activity.

During World War II, thousands of Chinese soldiers disappeared in China after a strange fog bank engulfed them. The same thing happened to a detachment of British soldiers in Europe storming a hill in 1944. Nothing of the British soldiers was ever found. In the China incident, all of the war equipment was strewn around but the soldiers were gone. This is not a rumor; it happened, and it has been written about in a number of books. It's well documented.

The two ranchers, while inside this fog, began to hear a great roaring sound which they thought was a freight train. They thought that somehow they had become lost and were near the Santa Fe Railroad tracks west of Flagstaff. Then an incredibly bright ball of light came off the rim of the canyon and descended down into the canyon. I don't know if anything happened right after that because that is all of the story I have. The next morning the fog cleared and the ranch-


ers found that they were indeed still in Secret Canyon. That is the earliest unusual activity that I know of having occurred in Secret Canyon.

So it has been going on for some time. It did not begin with the advent of New Agers. Since 1920, many people have had very strange experiences in Secret Canyon. I've been asked innumerable times what I think it is that exists or existed in Secret Canyon or on Secret Mountain. My guess is that it is, or was, either a portal of some type or an entrance to an underground base or tunnel system of some sort. UFO activity here has always been clustered in the general vicinity of Secret Mountain/Secret Canyon. In fact, because I keep an unscientific account of this, I would say that 80% of all UFO activity in Arizona occurs near or in Secret Canyon or around Secret Mountain. The portal, or whatever, is/was centered about two-thirds of the way into Secret Canyon, a distance of about three miles from the mouth of the canyon.

Secret Canyon is about seven miles long in its entirety. The center of the activity may actually be on the side of Secret Mountain near Secret Canyon. Both areas are in quite remote locations. Some of the incidents that have occurred in Secret Canyon follow:

A number of hikers deep in Secret Canyon have been gripped suddenly by an overpowering fear and have literally run all the way out of the canyon. This includes a battle-hardened British Royal Marine who, before that, had not believed anything he had heard about Secret Canyon. This activity is entirely suggestive of some sort of device that is switched on. Sub-sonic sound waves perhaps, or some type of ELF (extra low frequency) device may account for this. People have had machine guns stuck in their noses in Secret Canyon (and in two other canyons) and have been told to turn back by men in black uniforms and, in two cases, by men wearing orange jumpsuits with a large insignia on either the right or left chest area. Very sloppy work by someone.

Sounds like hikers with great imaginations just getting a bit carried away? Not quite, and here's why. I have a friend who is retired from Army Intelligence and he has told me that, in fact, elite army units or teams, have been (or were at one time) very active in the Secret Canyon/Secret Mountain area. I inquired of him if he thought aliens might be involved in this mysterious activity, in con-




TOM DONGO, Author

TENTH PRINTING  
**THE MYSTERIES OF SEDONA** — An overview of the New Age Mecca that is Sedona, Arizona. Topics are the famous energy vortexes, UFOs, channeling, Lemuria, metaphysical and mystical experiences and area paranormal activity. 84 pp. \$6.95. Photos, illustrations. Perfect bound 5-1/2 x 8-1/4. ISBN 0-9622748-0-1



THIRD PRINTING  
**THE ALIEN TIDE** — UFO-ET, metaphysical and paranormal activity in Sedona, Arizona, and nationwide, with strong focus on UFO and alien activity. 128 pp. \$7.95. Photos, illustrations. Perfect bound 5-1/2 x 8-1/2. ISBN 0-962748-1-X



**NEW!**  
**THE QUEST — THE MYSTERIES OF SEDONA III**  
Interviews with 26 who have answered the call to Sedona and speak of their spiritual quests and experiences. Fascinating, in-depth explorations. 5 1/2 x 8 1/2 \$8.95 ISBN 0-9622748-2-8

DISTRIBUTED BY NEW LEAF, BOOK PEOPLE and BAKER & TAYLOR

junction with the U.S. Military. He answered in the affirmative. There is more to this but I am not going to go into it here.

As a point of interest, Navajo Army Depot, a munitions storage area now generally deactivated, lies only a few air miles north of Secret Mountain. The depot is about six miles long by five miles wide. A local wilderness guide was deep in Secret Canyon several years ago when he was knocked off his feet by something invisible (like a ray) and crawled on his hands and knees a quarter of a mile before he could get back on his feet. Unearthly buzzing sounds have been experienced by many hikers and campers who have said that these sounds have originated from deep in the ground. I've experienced this phenomenon myself and it is a very weird sound. Jet engine-like sounds going on and off have been heard in the area. At least a half dozen times UFOs have been seen slowly flying above Secret Canyon, shooting blazing beams of light into the canyon as if they were probing for something. Some of the witnesses to this

were camped in Secret Canyon and the UFOs flew right over them. I wrote at length about these incidents in both *The Alien Tide* and in my new book, *The Quest*. Whenever I talk on this subject, I always point out that 99.9% of the hikers and campers who have ventured into Secret Canyon or onto Secret Mountain have had nothing adverse whatsoever happen to them. They enjoyed perfectly normal hiking or camping trips; so there is no real reason to avoid this area if you are inclined to go there. However, you will never find me camping in Secret Canyon—not in the back half anyway. So that's about it for Secret Canyon. There is a lot more but it's too wild and unverifiable to repeat here. I just hope that paranoia buffs don't get carried away with this.

#### Soldier's Pass Incident

I experienced an incident recently, probably not connected to Secret Canyon (but it could be), that I will briefly mention here, in closing. Several months ago I

found a piece of aircraft fuselage about four feet long and two feet wide and painted a lime green color. This was in the area of Soldier's Pass. Several days after I found it, I took it up to the Sedona Airport terminal and just happened to meet an FAA official who is a pilot and aircraft mechanic, who was standing in the lobby. I was impressed. After examining it, he said that it probably was from a twin-engine Cessna that had crashed on Wilson Mountain in 1980. But, coincidentally, a friend of mine and a friend of his, a number of years ago near Loy Canyon, found small wreckage pieces of what an aircraft mechanic said was part of a Korean War-era jet fighter. It had evidently hit the ground a high rate of speed. I asked him if the pieces had any paint on them. He replied that some pieces had lime green paint on them. There have never been any reports, newspaper or otherwise, of a jet or jet fighter crashing in this area. \*

We received a catalog from the Arcturus Book Service a week or so ago. I was surprised to see they have Arcturus up for sale. Another one bites the dust. They are becoming as numerous as flies! Is it that everyone is getting burned out with working so hard or their interests are waning because of other things?

#### ITEM OF INTEREST:

Did anyone read and note, the article in the Decemebr 27, 1993 issue of TIME MAGAZINE? The front cover was a painting of an angel and had the words, "The New Age of Angels" across the front.

I found this article very interesting. In ufology much has been written about the "immaculate conception" of Mary by an "angel". Well that leads to a whole can of worms right there that I don't want to get into - I will let you think about that yourselves. However, in this article (relating to the previous statement) are several interesting sightings of angels (which only means messenger ((from who??)) which I would like to relate to you. You be the judge.. following are the excerpts.

\*\*\*\*\*

When it comes to the "official" Mars mission loss stories, NASA has many skeptics. The "Spacecraft May Have Blown Up" and "Batch of Bad Transistors" press releases resulted in the Jet Propulsion Laboratory in Pasadena and the White House both being picketed by non-believers.

A local Seattle radio talk show host is refusing to let this issue die. Late on Saturday nights, Laura Lee on KVI 570 AM frequently has Richard Hoagland and other scientists on her show to inform the public of the latest developments concerning the Mars mission. Her show will be broadcast nationally beginning in mid-December from 9pm to 12pm weekdays. She is an excellent source of continued information and helps support our research.

Abraham Lincoln once said "Given the Truth, the people will muster the courage to overcome any crisis." Truth and accountability now seem to be the missing parts in this complex puzzle. Who has been held accountable for the failure of the Hubble Space Telescope? What is the truth about Mars and the disappearance of the Mars Probe? Only persistent digging will produce the real facts. The one thing that can be depended on is that truth will not be served by our controlled media systems. The truth is something we as citizens and taxpayers are going to have to work very hard for.

It is extremely important that we expose this fraud and prosecute those involved with wasting billions of our tax dollars.

The time for a full Congressional investigation into these matters is now. Please contact Congress and share this information with others. Congresswomen Jennifer Dunn is on the House Space Subcommittee and her office in Washington D.C. is a good place to start writing.

If you feel you might have access to further information or contacts, or if you wish to contribute your efforts to the work of the UWSA Advanced Research Team, please write ART, POB 12144, Seattle, WA 98102.

(Continued  
from Page 24)

**ENCOUNTERS WITH ANGELS.** Maybe it is not surprising that people who believe they have had an encounter with angels are among the most reluctant to discuss them. Yet there is an uncanny similarity in the stories and a moving conviction behind them. Very often the recognition comes only in retrospect. A person is in immediate danger—the car stalled in the deadly snowstorm, the small plane lost in the fog, the swimmer too far from shore. And emerging from the moment's desperation comes some logical form of rescue: a tow-truck driver, a voice from the radio tower, a lifeguard. But when the victim is safe and turns to give thanks, the rescuer is gone. There are no tire tracks in the snow, There is no controller in the tower. And there are no footprints on the beach.

Those who have an angel story often point out that they couldn't make up the vision they saw. Ann Cannady recalls the day in July 1977 when a third test result confirmed she had advanced uterine cancer. "Cancer is a terribly scary word," she says. Her husband Gary, a retired Air Force master sergeant, had lost his first wife to the same type of cancer and did not know whether he had the strength to go through it again. "We spent the next eight weeks scared and praying, praying and scared," says Ann. "I kept begging God, saying, 'Please, if I'm going to die, let me die quickly. I don't want Gary to have to face this again.'"

Ann is convinced that her prayers were heard. Even years later, the memory remains as vivid as it is out of this world. One morning, three days before she was to enter the hospital for surgery, Gary answered the doorbell. Standing on the step was a large man, a good inch taller than her 6-ft. 5-in. husband. "He was the blackest black I've ever seen," Ann says, "and his eyes were a deep, deep azure blue." The stranger introduced himself simply as Thomas. And then he told her that her cancer was gone.

"How do you know my name, and how did you know I have cancer?" stammered Ann. Then she turned to her husband and asked, "What do we do, Gary? Should we ask him in?"

Thomas came inside and again told them she could stop worrying. He quoted scripture to them—*Isaiah 53: 5*: "... and with his stripes we are healed."

Ann, still confused, looked at the man and demanded, "Who are you?"

"I am Thomas. I am sent by God."

Next, Ann recalls, "he held up his right hand, palm facing me, and leaned toward me, though he didn't touch me. I'm telling you, the heat coming from that hand was incredible. Suddenly I felt my legs go out from under me, and I fell to the floor. As I lay there, a strong white light, like one of those searchlights, traveled through my body. It started at my feet and worked its way up. I knew then, with every part of me—my body, my mind and my heart—that something supernatural had happened."

She passed out. When she awoke, her husband was leaning over her asking, "Ann, are you alive?" and pleading for her to speak

to him. Thomas was gone. Ann, still weak from the encounter, "crawled over to the telephone and called my doctor's office and demanded to speak to him right that minute. I told him something had happened, and I was cured, and I didn't need surgery. He told me stress and fear were causing me to say things I didn't mean."

In the end they reached a compromise. Ann would show up at the hospital as scheduled, but before the operation the surgeons would do another biopsy. They would keep her on the operating table at the ready. If the preliminary test came back positive they would proceed as planned. When Ann woke up, she was in a regular hospital room, the doctor at her bedside. "I don't understand what's happened," he said, "but your test came back clean. We've sent the sample off to the lab for further testing. For now, though, you appear to be in the clear."

There has been no recurrence of the cancer. At first Ann was hesitant to talk about it for fear that people, including her children, would think she'd "lost it." They didn't. Even her doctor, she says, acknowledged at one point that he'd "witnessed a medical miracle."

Melissa Deal Forth, 40, a filmmaker in Atlanta, will never forget the day her husband Chris Deal died: it was exactly one year after he had been diagnosed with acute lymphocytic leukemia. The last months had been gruesome: treatments that could not save him, nights when she could not sleep. But she was sleeping soundly at his hospital bedside on the morning of Jan. 4 when Chris managed, somehow, without being seen or heard, to maneuver himself and his portable IV pole around her, out of the room and past the nurse's station with its 360° view of the ward. All Melissa remembers is being shaken awake at 3 a.m. by a frantic nurse who was saying something about not being able to find Chris.

Melissa hit the floor running. As she approached the elevator she happened to glance toward the chapel, where she glimpsed Chris sitting with a man she had never seen before. Frightened and furious, she burst through the door, firing off questions. "Where have you been? Are you okay?"

Chris just smiled. "It's fine," he told her, "I'm all right." His companion remained quiet, his eyes on the floor as though not wanting to be noticed. He was tall, dressed rather like Chris usually did, in a flannel shirt, new Levis and lace-up workboots that appeared as if they, too, had just been taken off the shelf. "There was no real age to him," Melissa says. "No wrinkles. Just this perfectly smooth and pale, white, white skin and ice blue eyes. I mean I've never seen that color blue on any human before. They were more the blue like some of those Husky dogs have. I'll never forget the eyes."

Chris seemed to want to be left alone, and so she reluctantly agreed to leave. When he came back to his room, she says, "He was lit up, just vibrant. Smiling. I could see his big dimples. I hadn't seen them in so long. He didn't have the air of a terminally ill and very weak man anymore."

"Who was that guy?" she asked.

"You're not going to believe me."


"Yes, I will."

"He was an angel. My guardian angel."

Melissa did believe him. "All I had to do was to look at him to know something extraordinary, something supernatural had happened."

She searched the hospital to find the man. There was no one around, and the security guards hadn't seen anyone come or go. "After the visit, Chris told me his prayers had been answered. I worried for a while that he thought the angel had cured his cancer. I realize now it wasn't the cure, it was the blessing he brought with him. It was the peace of mind." Chris died two days later.

In the 11 years since Chris's death, Melissa says not a day has gone by when she has not thought about the angel and what he did for her husband. "Chris' life could not be saved, but the fear and pain were taken from him," she says. "I know what I saw, and I know it changes lives. Never, never, never will anyone be able to convince me that angels don't exist."



Henry never knew what hit him.

## UWSA EXAMINES THE LOSS OF THE BILLION DOLLAR MARS PROBE

First it was the Hubble Space Telescope and now it is the Mars Probe. Two discouraging failures that cost the taxpayers billions of dollars. But did they, in fact, really fail as we have been led to believe through "official" press releases? A group of independent scientists currently investigating the Mars mission have different information.

The scientists have collected a significant body of evidence to support their findings including two separate incidents of sabotage of the Mars Probe prior to its launch.

Richard Hoagland, recent recipient of the prestigious Angstrom award for Excellence in Science, heads up a confederation of scientists, which includes Dr. Mark Carlotto, Dr. Stanley McDaniel, and many other professionals who are devoting their time and skills to solving this mystery.

The public is being asked by our government to believe that coincidental failure put out of commission the only two recent space missions that would have provided incredible visual imaging of our solar system for all the world to contemplate.

The images from the Hubble and the Mars probe would have removed much speculation concerning planetary bodies and the universe from elitist scientific circles and would have placed live data before the public for interpretation, free from preliminary censorship. Because NASA is a civilian agency and is chartered as such, the planners and funders have no reason to be concerned with censorship, if integrity in the organization is upheld at all levels.

Put another way, honest Americans had their hard-earned tax dollars spent in the quest for firsthand knowledge about the solar system and universe. What they did not expect was an elitist control mechanism deciding what the public would see through a variety of actions and non-actions. Continuing research indicates that public censorship is part of NASA's current unofficial policy.

For the last 14 years, Hoagland's team of scientists have been looking at anomalous geologic formations photographed on the surface of Mars in 1976 by the orbiting Viking spacecraft. Their objective is to determine the reality of artifacts photographed on the surface of Mars. When NASA announced that it was returning to Mars with a state-of-the-art spy camera for further imaging, there was great confidence that the mystery of the "Monuments of Mars" would finally be solved. However, the administration at NASA would not cooperate by ensuring that these critical areas would be re-imaged. The public responded by aggressively writing Congress and NASA, insisting that the "Cydonia Region" be on the re-imaging list.

Shortly before the launch date, NASA finally admitted that the "Cydonia Region" would be re-imaged. However, for the first time, NASA would embargo the release of images from the Mars mission. The only possible reason for this is censorship. What are they hiding, and who really is running NASA?

Research by Hoagland's group has discovered a document submitted to the 87th Congress, on April 18, 1961, titled "Proposed Studies on the Implications of Peaceful Space Activities for Human Affairs." That document was a study commissioned by NASA and prepared by the Brookings Institute of Washington, D.C. The Brookings Institute is a think tank that has produced Public and Governmental Policy on a myriad of subjects and concerns over the years. In that document under the section heading of "Implications of the discovery of extraterrestrial life' on page 215, it clearly states "While face-to-face meetings with it will not occur within the next 20 years, artifacts left at some time by these life-forms might possibly be discovered through our space activities on the Moon, Mars, or Venus." And on page 216 it states, "how might such information, under what circumstances, be presented to or withheld from the Public."

The aforementioned document would seem quite in line with present operational policy at NASA even though the administration at NASA has no legal right because of their civilian charter, to withhold the results of space exploration from the public. In fact, further UWSA research reveals that this policy has likely been in effect since the early 60's.

On November 23, 1966 the Seattle Post Intelligencer ran a photo and story that consumed most of the front page. The huge title read "STRANGE SPIRES SIGHTED ON MOON" while the half-page photo showed the surface of the moon as viewed from the Boeing-built Lunar Orbiter 2. In the photo were four prominent spires and shadows cast by objects estimated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, to be 45 to

75 feet high with one spire roughly the shape of the Washington Monument. That afternoon, on page 3, the Seattle Times featured a much smaller picture with only a couple of lines describing the oddity.

The next day the Times made no mention of the photo and the PI included a small news item calling the spires a natural lunar formation no more interesting than other things found by the Orbiter. The PI article then continued on with a story about a rolling moon rock and how the tracks could be used to determine a suitable landing site for the Apollo mission; an interesting story that had nothing to do with determining the reality of the spires. The net product being an enormous story successfully killed by a disinformation mechanism operating behind the scenes.

Moving forward in time to the landing of Apollo 14, we find more evidence of NASA misleading the public. Shortly after the Apollo 14 astronauts set up the small flag pole, and while the on-site television camera was running, a gust of wind kicked up and caused the flag to billow and wave. Neither astronaut was near the flag at the time, but after seeing the motion of the flag, both of them ran to block the camera's view. One even put his arm in front of the camera. Why is this important? Because there is not supposed to be any atmosphere on the moon, according to "official" doctrine, and flags won't wave in the wind without the presence of atmosphere. The simple act of blocking the camera proves NASA's tendency to deceive the public.

Now jump ahead to when the Mars Probe was awaiting launch from Florida, Hurricane Andrew was nearby and threatened the launch pad where the Mars Probe was sitting on top of its rocket. NASA decided to protect the space craft from adverse environmental conditions by overpressuring the sealed shell containing the spacecraft with nitrogen. When the threat from the hurricane passed, the nitrogen lines were removed and a technician noticed contamination on the camera and other sensors. Specifically what was found under the shell opening included metal filings, silicon chips, paint chips, cloth fiber, wood chips and glass. Source analysis by NASA determined that the contamination did not come from the vicinity of the launch pad, and therefore must have been deliberately introduced through the shell where the environmental hoses attach. The rocket had to be destacked and the spacecraft returned to a level 10 clean room for overhaul.

At that point a small press release was made that received little attention or coverage by the media. The release stated that there had been an incident of contamination and that the one month launch window for the Mars mission might be missed.

Had the technician not glanced inside the shell as he disconnected the hoses, the spacecraft surely would have failed from contamination. As it turned out, when the spacecraft was torn down a second act of sabotage was discovered.

Hoagland, having previously been a NASA consultant, has many contacts in and around NASA. Through one of these contacts, he was informed of the details of the second incident of contamination. According to Hoagland, as the Malin Camera was disassembled, Vaseline was discovered between the lenses of the camera. The result would have been blurred photos, making the camera useless of confirming the reality of anything. There was no NASA press release for this second contamination incident.

If blurred pictures sounds a lot like the Hubble Space Telescope's ailment, rest assured that it is. The telescope, we are told, had a misground mirror due to an incidental one decimal place transposition of a number used during manufacture. That reasoning now appears extremely suspect, especially when taken in the context with what has now happened to the Mars probe's camera.

By October '93 the word of Hoagland's independent investigation was widespread in the NASA community. Hoagland's group was contacted by four JPL engineers who stated that the Mars probe has been turned into a "stealth" mission and is still operational, despite what the public is being told. They also revealed that the mission would be "found again" early in January. Additionally, one American intelligence operative stationed in another country has come forward and confirmed the JPL insiders' disclosures. Other sources inside NASA revealed that parts of the Mars program are now under strictly-controlled security, including coded-access doors and military control of some areas.

One of those areas of military control is NASA's Failure Review Panel which is investigating the loss of the Mars Probe. According to Hoagland, this review panel contains no civilian representation and consists entirely of Naval investigators. This smacks of the Warren Commission, where JFK's antagonists were given the responsibility of investigating the assassination. The public must not be fooled by this type of operation again.

*Continued on page 21*

## THE MAGAZINE

The Missing Link is the oldest publication of its type. It was first published in November of 1982. Its readership includes people from all walks of life and every economic level.

Each issue features articles and information from UFO witnesses, and contactees, and UFO researchers around the world.

In addition, the magazine contains poetry, drawings, spiritual awareness, Earth ecology, astronomical and scientific information, and eye witness accounts from persons who have seen UFOs, or been contacted by extraterrestrial civilizations.

## THE MARKET

Followers of this phenomenon number hundreds of thousands, covering all educational sections and social spectrums. Largely untapped, this market is easily reached through The Missing Link magazine, whose readership represents the mass audience in this field.

## REPRODUCTION REQUIREMENTS

Page size (trimmed) = 8 3/8 x 10 7/8

Black and White: camera ready artwork, photoprints, and reproduction veloxes. Photographs should be pre-screened PMTs at 100 line screen.

Special artwork and preparation charges will be quoted on request.

## PRINTING METHOD:

Offset on 60# bond

## SCREENS:

Tints - 85 line, screens no heavier than 20%  
Photos - 100 line PMTs

## FREQUENCY:

Bi-monthly

## CLOSING DATES:

Distribution of the magazine occurs on the second week of every other month. Deadline for ads is 8 weeks prior to distribution.

All artwork should be sent to:

Galaxus Communications  
Post Office Box 5845  
Parsippany, NJ 07054

## ADVERTISEMENT SIZES

Full Page = 7 1/2 x 10

Full Page Bleed = 8 5/8 x 11 1/4

Two Page Spread = 15 1/4 x 10

Two Page Spread Bleed = 17 1/4 x 11 1/4  
\* Copy safety on bleed page must be 5/16 inside trimmed size.

1/4 Page Vertical = 3 1/2 x 5

1/2 Page Vertical = 3 1/2 x 10

1/2 Page Horizontal = 5 x 7 1/4

## RETAIL RATES

Inside Front Cover

Inside Back Cover

Back Cover

1 Time Insertion

2 Time Insertion

\$250

\$200

## Two Page Spread

1 Time Insertion \$360

2 Time Insertion \$285

## Full Page Spread

1 Time Insertion \$180

2 Time Insertion \$120

## 1/2 Page Ad

1 Time Insertion \$ 90

2 Time Insertion \$ 60

## 1/4 Page Ad

1 Time Insertion \$ 45

2 Time Insertion \$ 35

\*Bleeds, add 10%

(All rates in US Dollars with copy.)

## COLUMN ADVERTISING

Worded advertising runs 20 words for \$5.00 and .20 (cents) for each additional word.

## CONDITIONS OF BUSINESS

1. Advertising insertion orders and contracts should be sent to:

Galaxus Communications  
Post Office Box 5845  
Parsippany, NJ 07054

2. The publisher reserves the right to refuse any advertisement.

3. The publisher will not be liable for any consequential loss or damage occasioned by the failure of any advertisement to appear from any cause whatsoever, nor does it accept liability for errors in any advertisement published, nor its failure to appear on a specified date.



# MAGAZIN FÜR GRENZWISSENSCHAFTEN

## REDAKTIONSANSCHRIFTEN

Walter L. Kelch (wlk)  
Niederstraße 31  
D(W)-56637 Plaidt  
Telefon & Fax: 02632 - 73532 (Hotline)

Stefan Rickes (sr)  
Neugasse 22  
D(W)-56220 Kettig  
Telefon: 02637 - 4705

Ihr Schreiben vom  
Ihr Zeichen  
Posteingang - MG  
Unser Zeichen            wlk  
Bereich/Vermerk MG    MG  
Plaidt / Kettig, den    23. 7.1993

## BANKVERBINDUNG

Postgiroamt (D/W)-6700 Ludwigshafen  
Bankleitzahl : 54510067  
Kontonummer: 2243898679

Good Day !

Sorry for my misarable English!

We are a new Publication in the Germany. Poss our thematic is UFO-Research, Prä-Astronautic and diverse Myserious !

We will a teamwork with the groups from the world. We intrested for your's magazine, and we will a exchange with your magazine! As next we will a datatransfer with your magyzine, and translate in german for the germanpeople (fragment's)!

Your have interest?

Please anwer!

Walter L. Kelch